

July 18, 2019 File No. 18-371

Mr. Ryan Yuan 3611 W. Mercer Way Mercer Island WA 98040

Subject: Geotechnical Engineering Study Proposed Residence 3611 West Mercer Way, Mercer Island, WA

Dear Mr. Yuan,

As requested, PanGEO, Inc. has completed a geotechnical engineering study for the proposed residence at the above-referenced site. This study was performed in general accordance with our mutually agreed scope of work outlined in our proposal dated October 11, 2018, which you approved on October 30, 2018. Our service scope included reviewing readily-available geologic data, reviewing preliminary design plans, conducting a site reconnaissance, drilling three test borings, and developing the conclusions and recommendations presented in this report.

SITE AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The 17,535-square foot lot is located on a southwesterly facing slope that leads down to the shoreline of Lake Washington. There is about 66 feet of topographic relief across the site. As currently planned, the proposed new house will be built overlapping the footprint of the existing house as shown on Figure 2. This location will require demolition of the existing house and excavating into the hillside on east side. Cuts with heights up to 10 to 12 feet may be needed for the new construction. The City of Mercer Island maps several geologic hazards for this site, including seismic, steep slope, potential landslide and erosion hazards.

The conclusions and recommendations outlined in this report are based on our understanding of the proposed development, which is in turn based on the project information provided. If the above project description is incorrect, or the project information changes, we should be consulted to review the recommendations contained in this study and make modifications, if needed.

SUBSURFACE EXPLORATIONS

Three borings (PG-1 to PG-3) were drilled at the site on November 14, 2018, using a handoperated portable drill rig owned and operated by CN Drilling of Seattle, Washington. The approximate boring locations were measured in the field from on-site features and are shown on Figure 2. The borings were drilled to depths of about 26¹/₂, 16¹/₂ and 21¹/₂ feet in PG-1 PG-2 and PG-3, respectively.

The drill rig was equipped with 6-inch outside diameter hollow stem augers. Soil samples were obtained from the borings at 2½-foot depth intervals in general accordance with Standard Penetration Test (SPT) sampling methods (ASTM test method D-1586) in which the samples are obtained using a 2-inch outside diameter split-spoon sampler. The sampler was driven into the soil a distance of 18 inches using a 140-pound weight freely falling a distance of 30 inches. The number of blows required for each 6-inch increment of sampler penetration was recorded. The number of blows required to achieve the last 12 inches of sample penetration is defined as the SPT N-value. The N-value provides an empirical measure of the relative density of cohesionless soil, or the relative consistency of fine-grained soils.

A geologist from PanGEO were present to observe the drilling, assist in sampling, and to describe and document the soil samples obtained from the borings. The soil samples were described and field classified in general accordance with the symbols and terms outlined in Figure A-1, and the summary boring logs are included as Figures A-2 to A-4.

SITE GEOLOGY AND SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

SITE GEOLOGY

The Geologic Map of Mercer Island (Troost and Wisher, 2006) mapped a complex of surficial geologic units at the subject site. At the level of West Mercer Way and just below the geology is mapped as Pre-Olympia Nonglacial Deposits (Qpon). Beneath the non-glacial deposits and around mid-slope, the geologic map shows Pre-Olympia Coarse-Grained Deposits (Qpoc),

followed by Pre-Olympia Fine-grained deposits (Qpof) in the lower portion of the slope. Lake Deposits (Ql) are mapped along the lakeshore. Pre-Olympia Nonglacial deposits are described by Troost, et al. as stiff to hard, laminated to massive, silt and clay with sand interbeds to clean to silty sand and gravel with silt and peat interbeds that had been overridden by Olympia Interglaciation. Pre-Olympia Coarse-Grained deposits typically consist of dense, clean to silty, sand and gravel with occasional silty layers that had been overridden by Olympia Interglaciation. The Pre-Olympia fine grained strata consist of hard, laminated to massive, silt and clay. Lake Deposits (Ql) typically consist of very loose to loose sand to very soft to medium stiff silt and clay with peat and other organic sediments deposited adjacent to Lake Washington.

Landsliding in the area is typical, with several old slide scarps mapped above and around the subject site.

SUBSURFACE AND GROUNDWATER CONDITIONS

The soils observed in the borings consisted of fill or mass wasting deposits over Lake Deposits and Glaciolacustrine beds. N-values for both the cohesive and non-cohesive beds were lower than might be expected for native, glacially over-ridden soils, suggesting that slope processes have disturbed the original condition of the soil units. The following is a description of the soils encountered in the test borings advanced at the site. Please refer to the boring summary logs (Figures A-2 to A-4) for a detailed description of the conditions encountered at each boring location.

UNIT 1: Fill – Loose, silty fine sand and soft to medium stiff, silty, lean clay was encountered at the top of PG-1. The fill occurs on three layers, 2 feet of silty sand at the top, followed by $7\frac{1}{2}$ feet of clay, then $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet of non-plastic silt. PG-2 also encountered 2 feet of medium dense, silty sand fill at the surface. The soils were moist to very moist, with mixed textures. One piece of glass, organics, and wood debris were recovered in the sample from 10 to $11\frac{1}{2}$ feet in boring PG-1, confirming that the soil was fill, most likely resulting from the driveway construction.

UNIT 2: Mass Wasting Deposit –Underlying the surficial fill in boring PG-2 was 5 feet of stiff, light brown, silty clay. The unit was low plastic and was characterized by broken textures, with an occasional gravel. At the base of the unit was a dark gray, organic

bearing bed. Based on the textures and the location of the boring on the uphill side of the driveway, the unit was interpreted as a mass wasting deposit.

UNIT 3: Lake Beds – All three borings appeared to contain deposits that appeared to have been deposited in Lake Washington and then buried by mass wasting and other deposits. In PG-1, beneath the fill the boring penetrated $2\frac{1}{2}$ feet of medium dense or stiff, silty clay to clayey silt. The soil varies from slightly plastic to low plastic, with slow dilatancy, and well-developed laminae. In PG-2 the mass wasting unit was underlain by nearly 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ feet of fine to coarse sand, perhaps more of a beach deposit, medium dense, and grading finer downward. PG-3 was located on the relatively flat area above the lake, that would have been lake bottom prior to the construction of the Lake Washington ship canal, so lake beds were encountered from the surface. In PG-3 the lake beds consisted of roughly $\frac{4}{2}$ feet of non-plastic, laminated silt and silt with fine sand, over a $\frac{1}{2}$ foot bed of fine to coarse sand with gravel. Below the sand bed was $\frac{7}{2}$ feet of soft to medium stiff, laminated, green, silty, lean clay.

UNIT 4: Glaciolacustrine Deposits – The deepest soil encountered in the borings was an interbedded sequence of green gray, loose to medium dense, slightly plastic, clayey silt and low plastic, silty, lean clay, with occasional gravel. The unit was very homogeneous, laminated to massive, containing occasional light gray partings and occasional zones of hackly fracturing. The textures are consistent with glaciolacustrine sediments, so the unit is interpreted as such, though the N-values are relatively lower than would be expected.

Groundwater was encountered at various levels in the borings, general perched in coarser beds within the sequence. It should be noted that groundwater elevations and seepage rates are likely to vary depending on the season, local subsurface conditions, and other factors. Groundwater levels and seepage rates are normally highest during the winter and early spring.

GEOLOGY HAZARDS ASSESSMENT

Landslide Hazards and Steep Slopes

According to the City of Mercer Island's Geologic Hazards Map, the site lies within a potential landslide hazard area where numerous landslides have occurred in the past. Based on our field observations and the results of our field exploration, it is our opinion that the site is globally stable in its current configuration. It is also our opinion that the planned construction will not

adversely impact the overall stability of the site and surrounding properties, provided that the recommendations presented in this report are properly incorporated into the design and construction of the project.

Erosion Hazards

The site also lies within a mapped potential erosion hazard area. Based on the results of our test borings, the silty and clayey soils exposed at the surface of the site are anticipated to exhibit moderate to low erosion potential. In our opinion, the erosion hazard at the site can be effectively mitigated with the best management practice during construction and with properly designed and implemented landscaping for permanent erosion control. During construction, the temporary erosion hazard can be effectively managed with an appropriate erosion and sediment control plan, including but not limited to installing a silt fence at the construction perimeter, limiting removal of vegetation to the construction area, placing rocks or hay bales at the disturbed/traffic areas and on the downhill side of the project, covering all stockpiled soil or cut slopes with plastic sheets, constructing a temporary drainage pond to control surface runoff and sediment traps if needed, placing rocks at the construction entrance, etc. Permanent erosion control measures should include establishing vegetation, landscape plants, and hardscape established at the end of project.

Seismic Hazards

The site also lies with a mapped potential seismic hazard area, which may be susceptible to risk of damage from earthquake-induced ground shaking, slope failure, soil liquefaction, or surface faulting. While the site is contained within the area mapped as having a known or suspected seismic hazard, the mapped area is not rated as having either a high or moderate potential. Potential damage from liquefation may be mitigated by supporting the house on driven pin pile foundations. Additionally, the risk of slope failure should be low because of the use of permanent walls to retain the hillside slopes. These remedial measures are subsequently discussed in the engineering design recommendations.

GEOTECHNICAL DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

SEISMIC DESIGN PARAMETERS

Table 1 below provides seismic design parameters for the site that are in conformance with the 2015 edition of the International Building Code (IBC), which specifies a design earthquake

having a 2% probability of occurrence in 50 years (return interval of 2,475 years), and the 2008 USGS seismic hazard maps:

Site Class	Spectral Acceleration at 0.2 sec. (g)	Spectral Acceleration at 1.0 sec. (g)	Si Coeffi	te cients	Design Spectral Response Parameters		
	S_S	\mathbf{S}_1	Fa	F_{v}	S _{DS}	S _{D1}	
D	1.402	0.540	1.00	1.50	0.935	0.540	

Table 1 – Seismic Design Parameters

HOUSE FOUNDATIONS

We recommend that the house be supported on driven pin piles to avoid potential adverse settlement associated with loose mass wasting material underlying the site and potential liquefaction in the scattered saturated sand deposits underlying the site. The following presents our recommendation for pin piles foundations.

Pin Pile Sizes - In our opinion, 3-, 4-, or 6-inch diameter, Schedule 40, galvanized, steel pipes (pin piles) may be used to support the new structure. Three, four, and six-inch diameter pin piles are typically installed using small hammers mounted on a small excavator.

Pin Pile Capacity - The number of piles required depends on the magnitude of the design load. Allowable axial compression capacities of 6, 10, and 15 tons may be used for the 3-, 4-, and 6-inch diameter pin piles, respectively, with an approximate factor of safety of 2 for piles driven to refusal. Penetration resistance required to achieve the (refusal) capacities will be determined based on the hammer used to install the pile. Tensile capacity of pin piles should be ignored in design calculations.

It is our experience that the driven pipe pile foundations should provide adequate support with total settlements on the order of ½-inch or less.

The criterion for driving refusal is defined as the minimum amount of time (in seconds) required to achieve one inch of penetration, and it varies with the size of hammer used for pile driving.

For 3-, 4-, and 6-inch pin piles, the following table is a summary of driving refusal criteria for different hammer sizes that are commonly used:

Hammer Model	Hammer Weight (lb) / Blows per minute	3" Pile Refusal Criteria (seconds per inch of penetration)	4" Pile Refusal Criteria (seconds per inch of penetration)	6" Pile Refusal Criteria (seconds per inch of penetration)
Hydraulic TB 325	850 / 900	10	16	
Hydraulic TB 425	1,100 / 900	6	10	20
Hydraulic TB 725X	2,000 / 600	3	4	10
Hydraulic TB 830X	3,000 / 500			6

Summary of Commonly-Accepted Driving Criteria for 3-, 4-, and 6-inch Pin Pile with a 6, 10, and 15-ton Allowable Axial Compression Load

Please note that these refusal criteria were established empirically based on previous load tests on 3-, 4-, and 6-inch pin piles. Contractors may select a different hammer for driving these piles and propose a different driving criterion. In this case, it is the contractor's responsibility to demonstrate to the Engineer's satisfaction that the design load can be achieved based on their selected equipment and driving criteria.

Pin Pile Specifications - We recommend that the following specifications be included on the foundation plan:

- 1. All piles should consist of galvanized Schedule-40, ASTM A-53 Grade "A" pipe.
- 2. All piles shall be driven to refusal (see above table).
- 3. Piles shall be driven in nominal sections and connected with compression fitted sleeve couplers (i.e. no welding of pipe segments).

4. The geotechnical engineer of record or his/her representative shall observe pin pile installation.

The quality of a pin pile foundation is dependent, in part, on the experience and professionalism of the installation company. We recommend that a company with experienced personnel be selected to install the piles.

Lateral Forces - The capacity of pin pipes to resist lateral loads is very limited and should not be used in design. Therefore, lateral forces from wind or seismic loading should be resisted by the passive earth pressures acting against the pile caps and below-grade walls or from battered piles (batter no steeper than 3(H):12(V)). *Friction at the base of pile-supported concrete grade beams should be ignored in the design calculations*. Passive resistance values may be determined using an equivalent fluid weight of 400 pounds per cubic foot (pcf). This value includes a safety factor of about 1.5 assuming that properly compacted granular fill will be placed adjacent to and surrounding the pile caps and grade beams.

Grade Beam/Pile Cap Embedment - We recommend that the base of perimeter grade beams extend at least 18 inches below the adjacent exterior ground surface and that the base of interior grade beams extend at least 12 inches below interior floor slabs.

Estimated Pile Length – The subsurface conditions at the site will likely vary substantially across the site. Based on the soil conditions at the site and our experience in the project area, for planning and cost estimating purposes, we estimate that pile length may range from about 25 to 30 feet.

PERIMETER FOOTING DRAIN AND INTERCEPTOR TRENCH DRAIN

Perimeter drains should be installed around the building at or just below the invert of the footing or pile caps. Under no circumstances should roof downspout drain lines be connected to the footing drain systems. Roof downspouts must be separately tightlined to appropriate discharge locations. Cleanouts should be installed at strategic locations to allow for periodic maintenance of the footing drain and downspout tightline systems.

CONCRETE SLAB-ON-GRADE

In our opinion, conventional slab-on-grade construction may be utilized for the floor slabs. All soil beneath the floor slabs should be compacted to a dense and unyielding condition prior to placing capillary break material for the floor slabs. On-site soils that cannot be compacted to a dense and unyielding condition should be removed and replaced with compacted structural fill.

Slab-on-grade floors should be underlain by a capillary break consisting of at least of 4 inches of ³/₄-inch, clean crushed rock (less than 3 percent fines) compacted to a firm and unyielding condition. The capillary break should be placed on subgrade that has been compacted to a dense and unyielding condition. The capillary break should be placed on a suitable subgrade as confirmed by PanGEO. A 10-mil polyethylene vapor barrier should also be placed directly below the slab. We also recommend that control joints be incorporated into the floor slab to control cracking.

RETAINING AND BASEMENT WALL DESIGN PARAMETERS

Retaining and basement walls should be properly designed to resist the lateral earth pressures exerted by the soils behind the wall. Proper drainage provisions should also be provided behind the walls to intercept and remove groundwater that may be present behind the wall. Our geotechnical recommendations for the design and construction of the retaining/below-grade walls are presented below.

Lateral Earth Pressures

Concrete cantilever walls should be designed for an active pressure of 35 pcf for level backfills behind the walls assuming the walls are free to rotate or for an equivalent fluid weight of 50 pcf for rigid or unyielding walls. Walls with a 1(H):1(V) backslope should be designed for an active equivalent fluid weight of 45 pcf. Permanent walls should be designed for an additional uniform lateral pressure of 6H psf for seismic loading, where H corresponds to the buried depth of the wall. These recommendations assume that the wall backfill will consist of a free draining and properly compacted fill with adequate drainage provisions.

Surcharge

Surcharge loads, where present, should also be included in the design of retaining walls. We recommend that a lateral load coefficient of 0.3 be used to compute the lateral pressure on the

wall face resulting from surcharge loads located within a horizontal distance of one-half wall height.

Lateral Resistance

Lateral forces from seismic loading and unbalanced lateral earth pressures may be resisted by a combination of passive earth pressures acting against the embedded portions of the foundations and by friction acting on the base of the foundations. Passive resistance values may be determined using an equivalent fluid weight of 400 pcf. This value includes a factor of safety of 1.5, assuming the footing is poured against dense native sand, re-compacted on-site sandy soil or properly compacted structural fill adjacent to the sides of footing. A friction coefficient of 0.5 may be used to determine the frictional resistance at the base of the footings. The coefficient includes a factor safety of 1.5.

Wall Drainage

Provisions for wall drainage should consist of a 4-inch diameter perforated drainpipe behind and at the base of the wall footings, embedded in 12 to 18 inches of clean crushed rock and pea gravel wrapped with a layer of filter fabric. We recommend a composite drainage material, such as Miradrain 6000, be used for drainage on exterior walls. The drainpipe at the base of the wall should be graded to direct water to a suitable outlet.

Wall Backfill

In our opinion, imported structural fill should be used for wall backfill, and should consist of granular material, such as WSDOT Gravel Borrow or approved equivalent. In areas where the space is limited between the wall and the face of excavation, pea gravel or clean crushed rock may be used as backfill without compaction.

Wall backfill should be moisture conditioned to within about 3 percent of optimum moisture content, placed in loose, horizontal lifts less than 8 inches in thickness, and systematically compacted to a dense and relatively unyielding condition and to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined using test method ASTM D 1557. Within 5 feet of the wall, the backfill should be compacted with hand-operated equipment to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density.

CONSTRUCTION CONSIDERATIONS

SITE PREPARATION

Site preparation for the proposed project mainly includes site clearing and excavations to the design subgrade. All debris resulted from site clearing should be hauled away from the site. The stripped surface materials should be properly disposed off-site or be "wasted" on site in non-structural landscaping areas.

Following site clearing and excavations, the adequacy of the subgrade where structural fill, foundations, slabs, or pavements are to be placed should be verified by a representative of PanGEO. The subgrade soil in the improvement areas, if recompacted and still yielding, may need to be over-excavated and replaced with compacted structural fill or lean-mix concrete. The need for overexcavation should be determined by PanGEO.

TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS – BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION

According to the permit plan set (dated 6/12/19), the proposed daylight basement construction will require excavations up to about 7½ to 8 feet deep or temporary shoring. We understand from the permit plan set that the southern portion of the construction area will be shored using the existing basement retaining wall with helical anchor tiebacks (see the next section). We anticipate the excavations to mainly encounter loose to medium dense silty sand and soft to medium stiff silt and/or clay, which may be fill from the driveway. All temporary excavations should be performed in accordance with Part N of WAC (Washington Administrative Code) 296-155. The contractor is responsible for maintaining safe excavation slopes and/or shoring.

Based on the soil conditions at the site and the steep slope above the planned house location, for planning purposes, it is our opinion that where space is available, open cut excavations maybe used for the proposed construction and may be sloped $1\frac{1}{2}(H)$:1(V).

The temporary excavations and cut slopes should be re-evaluated in the field during construction based on actual observed soil conditions and may need to be modified in the winter. The cut slopes should be covered with plastic sheets in the raining season. We also recommend that heavy construction equipment, building materials, excavated soil, and vehicular traffic should not be allowed within a distance equal to 1/3 the slope height from the top of any excavation.

TEMPORARY SHORING WITH HELICAL ANCHOR TIEBACKS – BASEMENT CONSTRUCTION

We understand that helical anchor tiebacks will be installed to provide lateral support to the wall after the existing floor slab is removed. In our opinion, helical anchors may be used as tiebacks. Helical piles typically consist of one or more helix-shaped bearing plates affixed to a central shaft. The helical piles are installed by rotating the lead section and subsequent extensions with a hydraulic driving motor. For $2^{3}/_{8}$ - and $2^{7}/_{8}$ -inch diameter shafts with an 8" and 10" double helix lead section, allowable axial tension capacity of 15 and 20 kips may be used for design, respectively. For planning purposes, we estimate that a maximum of 8 to 9-foot long helical piles will be needed to achieve the required design tension capacity. All helical piles should be installed to a torque that provides an ultimate load that is at least twice the design load. The helical pile assembly and installation system to be used by the contractor should be submitted to the geotechnical engineer for review.

SOLDIER PILE WALLS

We anticipate that permanent soldier pile walls will be required to support the existing driveway (see Figure 2) and that the deep portion of the basement excavation may require construction shoring to support the neighboring property to the north.

A soldier pile wall consists of vertical steel beams, typically spaced from 6 to 8 feet apart along the proposed excavation wall, with timber lagging spanning between the flanges of the soldier piles to provide lateral restraint to the exposed soil. Prior to the start of excavation, the steel beams are installed into holes drilled to a design depth and then backfilled with lean mix concrete. As the excavation proceeds downward and the steel piles are subsequently exposed, the timber lagging is installed between the piles to further stabilize the walls of the excavation.

Design Lateral Pressures – For a cantilevered soldier pile wall or a soldier pile wall with one level of tiebacks, the earth pressures depicted on Figure 4 should be used for design. We recommend that tiebacks be used where the wall height exceeds 10 feet. Tiebacks should be designed with an allowable resistance of 1 kip per linear foot, or alternatively helical anchors may be used for tiebacks. The lateral earth pressures shown on Figure 4 should be increased for any surcharge loads resulting from traffic, construction equipment, building loads or excavated soil if they are located within the height dimension of the wall. In addition, if a soldier pile wall is constructed below a basement wall of an adjacent property, the surcharge pressure from the wall and backfill should be used in design of the soldier pile wall. Finally, any walls used for

permanent support should also include a uniform pressure of 6H for seismic loading where H represents the exposed height of the wall (in feet).

Vertical Capacity – Soldier piles may be designed using an allowable skin friction value of 1.0 ksf for the portion of the pile below the bottom of the excavation and an allowable end bearing value of 20 ksf.

Lagging - Lagging design recommendations for general conditions are presented on Figure 8. Lagging located within 10 feet of the top of the shoring which may be subjected to surcharge loads from construction equipment or material storage should include an additional uniform surcharge pressure of 200 psf. Point loads located close to the top of the wall, such as outriggers of heavy cranes, may apply additional loads to the lagging. These loads may need to be individually analyzed. However, lagging designed for a uniform load of 600 psf in the top 10 feet of the wall should be able to accommodate most crane outrigger loads.

CONSTRUCTION DEWATERING

Perched groundwater will likely be present within the sand beds, especially in the wet season. As such, the contractor should be prepared to provide temporary dewatering systems. Based on our understanding of the project and site conditions, we anticipate that a conventional dewatering system consisting of trenches, sumps and pumps will be adequate to dewater the temporary excavation. We also anticipate that the seepage quantities should be relatively small, likely less than 10 gallons per minute.

PERMANENT CUT AND FILL SLOPES

Based on the soil conditions underlying the site, we recommend permanent cut and fill slopes be constructed no steeper than 2(H):1(V).

MATERIAL REUSE

In the context of this report, structural fill is defined as compacted fill placed under footings, concrete stairs and landings, and slabs, or other load-bearing areas. In our opinion, the on-site soil is not suitable as structural fill. The structural fill should consist of imported, well-grade, granular material, such as WSDOT Gravel Borrow (WSDOT 9-03.14(1)) or approved equivalent. The on-site fill may be used as general fill in the non-structural and landscaping

areas. If use of the on-site soil is planned, the excavated soil should be stockpiled and protected with plastic sheeting to prevent softening from rainfall in the wet season.

STRUCTURAL FILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION

Structural fill should be moisture conditioned to within about 3 percent of optimum moisture content, placed in loose, horizontal lifts less than 8 inches in thickness, and systematically compacted to a dense and relatively unyielding condition and to at least 95 percent of the maximum dry density, as determined using test method ASTM D 1557.

Depending on the type of compaction equipment used and depending on the type of fill material, it may be necessary to decrease the thickness of each lift in order to achieve adequate compaction. PanGEO can provide additional recommendations regarding structural fill and compaction during construction.

WET WEATHER EARTHWORK

In our opinion, the proposed site construction may be accomplished during wet weather (such as in winter) without adversely affecting the site stability. However, earthwork construction performed during the drier summer months likely will be more economical. Winter construction will require the implementation of best management erosion and sedimentation control practices to reduce the risk of off-site sediment transport. Most of the site soils within the anticipated depth of excavation contain a high percentage of fines and are moisture sensitive. Any footing subgrade soils that become softened either by disturbance, groundwater or rainfall should be removed and replaced with structural fill, Controlled Density Fill (CDF), or lean-mix concrete.

General recommendations relative to earthwork performed in wet conditions are presented below:

- Site stripping, excavation and subgrade preparation should be followed promptly by the placement and compaction of clean structural fill or CDF;
- The size and type of construction equipment used may have to be limited to prevent soil disturbance;
- The ground surface within the construction area should be graded to promote run-off of surface water and to prevent the ponding of water;

- Geotextile silt fences and bales of straw should be strategically located to control erosion and the movement of soil;
- Structural fill should consist of less than 5% fines; and
- Excavation slopes should be covered with plastic sheets.

SURFACE DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS

Surface runoff can be controlled during construction by careful grading practices. Typically, this includes the construction of shallow, upgrade perimeter ditches or low earthen berms in conjunction with silt fences to collect runoff and prevent water from entering excavations or to prevent runoff from the construction area from leaving the immediate work site.

Permanent control of surface water should be incorporated in the final grading design. Adequate surface gradients and drainage systems should be incorporated into the design such that surface runoff is directed away from slopes and structures. Water from roof drains and other impervious areas should be properly collected and discharged into a storm drain system and should not be discharged on to the slope areas.

STATEMENT OF RISK

The site is mapped as a geologic hazard area by the City of Mercer Island, as documented above. Per Mercer Island City Code, development within geologic hazard areas and critical slopes may occur if the geotechnical engineer provides a statement of risk with supporting documentation indicating that one of the following conditions can be met:

- a. The geologic hazard area will be modified, or the development has been designed so that the risk to the lot and adjacent properties is eliminated or mitigated such that the site is determined to be safe; or
- b. Development practices are proposed for the alteration that would render the development as safe as if it were not located in a geologic hazard area; or
- c. The alteration is so minor as not to pose a threat to the public health, safety, and welfare; or

d. An evaluation of site-specific subsurface conditions demonstrates that the proposed development is not located in a geologic hazard area.

It is our opinion that Criterion A and B can be met provided that the development is designed and constructed in accordance with the recommendations in this report. The house design will utilize the existing house basement wall with tieback lateral support to provide temporary shoring for the new basement retaining wall. Permanent soldier pile walls will be utilized to support soils adjacent to the proposed auto court driveway and garage, and the walls will be designed to accommodate the code-level seismic loading. In addition, the proposed house foundation and walls will be designed with pin pile support. Permanent erosion control measures, including landscape and hardscape installations, will effectively mitigate the risk of erosion to disturbed areas of the site in the long term. As such, in our opinion, the development will not negatively affect the stability of the slope, or the surrounding properties.

In addition, in our opinion Criterion B can be met through best management practices during construction, including the proper use of a silt fence, minimize earthwork activities during periods heavy precipitation, minimize exposed areas in the wet season, and other appropriate temporary erosion control measures. Permanent erosion control measures, as described above, will effectively mitigate the risk of erosion in the long term.

ADDITIONAL SERVICES

To confirm that our recommendations are properly incorporated into the design and construction of the proposed residence, PanGEO should be retained to conduct a review of the final project plans and specifications, and to monitor the construction of geotechnical elements. The City of Mercer Island, as part of the permitting process, will also require geotechnical construction inspection services. PanGEO can provide you a cost estimate for construction monitoring services at a later date.

We anticipate that the following additional services will be required:

- Review final project plans and specifications
- Verify implementation of erosion control measures;
- Verify adequacy of footing subgrade;

- Monitor pin pile installation;
- Monitor temporary excavation;
- Monitor the installation of temporary and permanent soldier pile walls
- Verify the adequacy of subsurface drainage installation;
- Confirm the adequacy of the compaction of structural backfill; and
- Other consultation as may be required during construction

Modifications to our recommendations presented in this report may be necessary, based on the actual conditions encountered during construction.

CLOSURE

We have prepared this report for Ryan Yuan, and the project design team. Recommendations contained in this report are based on a site reconnaissance, a subsurface exploration program, review of pertinent subsurface information, and our understanding of the project. The study was performed using a mutually agreed-upon scope of work.

Variations in soil conditions may exist between the locations of the explorations and the actual conditions underlying the site. The nature and extent of soil variations may not be evident until construction occurs. If any soil conditions are encountered at the site that are different from those described in this report, we should be notified immediately to review the applicability of our recommendations. Additionally, we should also be notified to review the applicability of our recommendations if there are any changes in the project scope.

The scope of our work does not include services related to construction safety precautions. Our recommendations are not intended to direct the contractors' methods, techniques, sequences or procedures, except as specifically described in our report for consideration in design. Additionally, the scope of our work specifically excludes the assessment of environmental characteristics, particularly those involving hazardous substances. We are not mold consultants nor are our recommendations to be interpreted as being preventative of mold development. A mold specialist should be consulted for all mold-related issues.

This report has been prepared for planning and design purposes for specific application to the proposed project in accordance with the generally accepted standards of local practice at the time this report was written. No warranty, express or implied, is made.

This report may be used only by the client and for the purposes stated, within a reasonable time from its issuance. Land use, site conditions (both off and on-site), or other factors including advances in our understanding of applied science, may change over time and could materially affect our findings. Therefore, this report should not be relied upon after 24 months from its issuance. PanGEO should be notified if the project is delayed by more than 24 months from the date of this report so that we may review the applicability of our conclusions considering the time lapse.

It is the client's responsibility to see that all parties to this project, including the designer, contractor, subcontractors, etc., are made aware of this report in its entirety. The use of information contained in this report for bidding purposes should be done at the contractor's option and risk. Any party other than the client who wishes to use this report shall notify PanGEO of such intended use and for permission to copy this report. Based on the intended use of the report, PanGEO may require that additional work be performed and that an updated report be reissued. Noncompliance with any of these requirements will release PanGEO from any liability resulting from the use this report.

We appreciate the opportunity to be of service.

Sincerely,



Stephen H. Evans, L.E.G. Senior Engineering Geologist

Enclosures:



W. Paul Grant, P.E. Principal Geotechnical Engineer

Figure 1	Vicinity Map
Figure 2	Site and Exploration Map
Figure 3	Generalized Subsurface Profile Section A-A'
Figure 4	Shoring Design Parameters

Appendix A

A-1	Terms and Symbols for Boring and Test Pit Logs
A-2	Log of Boring PG-1
A-3	Log of Boring PG-2
A-4	Log of Boring PG-3

REFERENCES

International Code Council, 2015, International Building Code (IBC).

Troost, K.G., and Wisher, A. P, 2006. Geologic Map of Mercer Island, Washington, scale 1:24,000.

WSDOT, 2014, Standard Specifications for Road, Bridge and Municipal Construction, M 41-10.

18-371 Fig 1 & 2.ppt 4/16/2019(10:03 AM) SHE











APPENDIX A

SUMMARY TEST BORING LOGS

		RELATIVE DE	NSITY / C	CONSISTENCY	1	TI – for In	EST SYMBOLS Situ and Laboratory Tests
S	AND / GRA	VEL	<u> </u>	SILT		listed	in "Other Tests" column.
Density	SPT N-values	Approx. Relative Density (%)	Consistend	cy N-values	Approx. Undrained Shear Strength (psf)	ATT Comp	Atterberg Limit Test Compaction Tests
Very Loose	<4	<15	Very Soft	<2	<250	Con	Consolidation
Loose	4 to 10	15 - 35	Soft	2 to 4	250 - 500	DD	Dry Density
Med. Dense	10 to 30	35 - 65	Med. Stiff	4 to 8	500 - 1000	DS	Direct Shear
Dense	30 to 50	65 - 85	Stiff	8 to 15	1000 - 2000	%F	Fines Content
Very Dense	>50	85 - 100	Very Stiff	15 to 30	2000 - 4000	GS	Grain Size
•			Hard	>30	>4000	Perm	Permeability
	••			CATION SYSTI		- PP	Pocket Penetrometer
							R-value
	WAJUR	DIVISIONS		GRUU	PDESCRIPTIONS	SG TV	Specific Gravity
Gravel		GRAVEL (<5% fin	es)	GW: Well-grade	ed GRAVEL		Torvane
50% or more of	of the coarse		, , ()	GP Poorly-gra	ded GRAVEL		
fraction retain sieve. Use dua	ed on the #4 al symbols (eq.			GM Silty GRA	/EL	000	Uncommed Compression
GP-GM) for 5%	% to 12% fines.	GRAVEL (>12% fi	1es)	GC Clayey GF	AVEL	1	SYMBOLS
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••		SW: Well-grade	ed SAND	Sample/Ir	n Situ test types and intervals
Sand	•	SAND (<5% fines)		SP Poorly-ar	ded SAND	· \/	2-inch OD Split Spoon, SPT
50% or more of fraction passi	of the coarse ng the #4 sieve.						(140-lb. hammer, 30" drop)
Use dual syml for 5% to 12%	bols (eg. SP-SM) fines.	SAND (>12% fines	;)		J 	· 🔽	3.25-inch OD Spilt Spoon
				SC : Clayey SA	ND		(300-lb hammer, 30" drop)
		•		ML : SILT			
		Liquid Limit < 50		CL Lean CLAY			Non-standard penetration
Silt and Clay				OL Organic SILT or CLAY			test (see boring log for details
50%or more p	assing #200 sieve		1	MH Elastic SI	.Т		Thin wall (Shelby) tube
		Liquid Limit > 50		CH : Fat CLAY		•	
				OH : Organic S	I T or CLAY	·/ 💻	
						·· m	Grab
			<u>د</u>				
Mada a second							
Notes: 1 r c	 Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" colu report text for a more com	scriptions base System (USCS umn), unit desc olete descriptio	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la criptions may include a on of the subsurface co	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions.		Rock core
	 Soll exploration nodified from the conducted (as not discussions in the The graphic symbols mail 	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com ymbols given above are no ybe used where field obse	scriptions base System (USC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of all vrvations indica	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials.		Rock core Vane Shear
	 Soil exploration nodified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic symbols main other symbols main 	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com mbols given above are no by be used where field obsec DESCRIPTIONS	scriptions base System (USCS umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al arvations indica S OF SOIL	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la priptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES		Rock core Vane Shear
Notes: 1	 Soil exploratio modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Other symbols ma ed: Units of mate 	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com mbols given above are no y be used where field obsc DESCRIPTIONS grial distinguished by color	scriptions base System (USC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al vrvations indica S OF SOIL and/or	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la priptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Br	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL
Notes: 1	1. Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Dther symbols matching ed: Units of mate composition	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com mbols given above are no y be used where field obs DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a	scriptions base System (USC: umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al arvations indica 5 OF SOIL and/or ind below	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fra	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at
Layer	Coil exploration modified from the	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" colir report text for a more com y be used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic	scriptions base System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice S OF SOIL and/or and below <, max. 1 cm	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fr Blocky: An	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown	MO	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level
Notes:	Coil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the conducted (as not discussions in the composition ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soi	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com ybe used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally	scriptions base System (USC's umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice b OF SOIL and/or and below s, max. 1 cm	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la priptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Bro Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed	MO V V V V V V V	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal
Lawinate Interlayer	1. Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Other symbols ma ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soil ed: Alternating la	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com y be used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS and distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater	scriptions base System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below <, max. 1 cm al	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot	MO ⊻ ₹	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal
Lawinat Lawinat Interlayer	1. Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soil ed: Alternating la et: Erratic, disco	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com ymbols given above are no ybe used where field obs DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater ntinuous deposit of limited	scriptions base; System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al arvations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and/or and below <, max. 1 cm al extent	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Bri Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le Numerous: Mo	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot	MO ⊻ ¥	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill
Layer Layer Laminat Interlayer Pock	 Soil exploration modified from the sonducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic synthesis symbols matched by the symbols of soil and the symbols of soil and the symbols and the symbols of soil and the symbols of soil with unification of the symbols of th	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" colir report text for a more com y be used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater ntinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition	scriptions base- System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below <, max. 1 cm al extent throughout	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la priptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu STRUCTURE Fissured: Brn Slickensided: Frn Blocky: An Disrupted: Sco Scattered: Le Numerous: Mo BCN: An no	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between będding plane and a plane mal to core axis	MO ⊻ ⊻	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill
Layer Layer Laminate Interlayer Pock	1. Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soil ns: Layer of soil ed: Alternating la tet: Erratic, disco us: Soil with unif	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification report text for a more com mbols given above are no y be used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS and distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater intinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition	scriptions base, System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below <, max. 1 cm al extent throughout	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le Numerous: Mo BCN: An no	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane imal to core axis		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip
Layer Layer Laminate Interlayer Homogeneo	Coil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the conducted (as not discussions in the composition ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soil ns: Layer of soil ed: Alternating la tet: Erratic, disco us: Soil with unif DNENT	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com ybe used where field obso DESCRIPTIONS and distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater intinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition COMPON SIZE / SIEVE RA	scriptions base System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al arvations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below k, max. 1 cm al extent throughout IENT DEF NGE	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le Numerous: Mo BCN: An no FINITIONS COMPONENT	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane final to core axis		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip Slough
Layerd Layerd Laminate Interlayerd Homogeneod COMPC Boulder	Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the C. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma Composition ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soil ns: Layer of soil ed: Alternating la ret: Erratic, disco us: Soil with unif DNENT	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com y be used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater intinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition COMPON SIZE / SIEVE RA > 12 inches	scriptions base; System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below k, max. 1 cm al extent throughout IENT DEF NGE 0	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le Numerous: Ma BCN: An no FINITIONS COMPONENT Sand	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane trmal to core axis		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip Slough Bottom of Boring
Layer Layer Laminat Interlayer Pock Homogeneou COMPC Boulder Cobbles	Soil exploration modified from the modified from the modified from the moducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soi ns: Layer of soil ed: Alternating la tet: Erratic, disco us: Soil with unif DNENT : : :	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com ymbols given above are no ybe used where field obset DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a l typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater ntinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition COMPON SIZE / SIEVE RA > 12 inches 3 to 12 inches	scriptions base; System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al arvations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below k, max. 1 cm al extent throughout IENT DEF NGE C	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu STRUCTURE Fissured: Bri Slickensided: Fri Blocky: Ari Disrupted: Sci Scattered: Le Numerous: Mo BCN: Ari no FINITIONS COMPONENT Sand Coarse Sand:	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane mal to core axis SIZE / SIEVE RANGE #4 to #10 sieve (4.5 to 2.0 mm)		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip Slough Bottom of Boring
Layer Layer Laminat Interlayer Pock Homogeneou COMPC Boulder Cobbles Gravel	Soil exploration modified from the modified from the modified from the moducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soi ns: Layer of soil ed: Alternating la tet: Erratic, disco us: Soil with unif DNENT : ::	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com ybe used where field obse DESCRIPTIONS rial distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally agers of differing soil mater intinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition COMPON SIZE / SIEVE RA > 12 inches 3 to 12 inches	scriptions base- System (USC's umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below k, max. 1 cm al extent throughout IENT DEF NGE 0	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la priptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu STRUCTURE Fissured: Brn Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le Numerous: Mo BCN: An no FINITIONS COMPONENT Sand Coarse Sand: Medium Sand:	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane mal to core axis SIZE / SIEVE RANGE #4 to #10 sieve (4.5 to 2.0 mm) #10 to #40 sieve (2.0 to 0.42 mm)		Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip Slough Bottom of Boring STURE CONTENT
Layen Layen Laminat Laminat Len Interlayen Pock Homogeneon Pock Homogeneon COMPC Boulder Cobbles Gravel	Soil exploration modified from the modified from the modified from the moducted (as not discussions in the 2. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soi ns: Layer of soil ed: Alternating la tet: Erratic, disco us: Soil with unif DNENT : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com whols given above are no by be used where field obso DESCRIPTIONS and distinguished by color from material units above a I typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally agers of differing soil mater intinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition COMPON SIZE / SIEVE RA > 12 inches 3 to 12 inches 3 to 3/4 inches	scriptions base System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below k, max. 1 cm fal extent throughout IENT DEF	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la priptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu STRUCTURE Fissured: Bri Slickensided: Fri Blocky: An Disrupted: Sci Scattered: Le Numerous: Mc BCN: An no FINITIONS COMPONENT Sand Coarse Sand: Medium Sand: Fine Sand:	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane mal to core axis SIZE / SIEVE RANGE #4 to #10 sieve (4.5 to 2.0 mm) #10 to #40 sieve (0.42 to 0.074 mm)	MO ↓ ↓ MO ↓ MO K Dry Moist	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip Slough Bottom of Boring STURE CONTENT Dusty, dry to the touch
Layerd Layerd Laminatd Lei Interlayerd Pock Homogeneod COMPC Boulder Cobbles Gravel C	Soil exploration modified from the conducted (as not discussions in the C. The graphic sy Dther symbols ma Composition ed: Units of mate composition ed: Layers of soil red: Alternating la red: Alternating la red: Soil with unif DNENT Soil fine Gravel: Fine Gravel:	n logs contain material des Uniform Soil Classification ed in the "Other Tests" coli report text for a more com wholes given above are no by be used where field obset DESCRIPTIONS and distinguished by color from material units above a l typically 0.05 to 1mm thic that pinches out laterally ayers of differing soil mater intinuous deposit of limited orm color and composition COMPON SIZE / SIEVE RA > 12 inches 3 to 12 inches 3 to 3/4 inches 3/4 inches to #4 sieve	scriptions base System (USCC umn), unit desc plete descriptic t inclusive of al ervations indice 5 OF SOIL and/or and below k, max. 1 cm ial extent throughout IENT DEF NGE C	d on visual observation S). Where necessary la riptions may include a on of the subsurface co Il symbols that may app ated mixed soil constitu L STRUCTURE Fissured: Br Slickensided: Fra Blocky: An Disrupted: Sc Scattered: Le Numerous: Mc BCN: An no FINITIONS COMPONENT Sand Coarse Sand: Medium Sand: Fine Sand: Silt	and field tests using a system boratory tests have been classification. Please refer to the nditions. ear on the borehole logs. ents or dual constituent materials. ES eaks along defined planes acture planes that are polished or glossy gular soil lumps that resist breakdown il that is broken and mixed ss than one per foot ore than one per foot gle between bedding plane and a plane mal to core axis SIZE / SIEVE RANGE #4 to #10 sieve (4.5 to 2.0 mm) #10 to #40 sieve (2.0 to 0.42 mm) #40 to #200 sieve (0.42 to 0.074 mm) 0.074 to 0.002 mm	MO	Rock core Vane Shear NITORING WELL Groundwater Level at time of drilling (ATD) Static Groundwater Level Cement / Concrete Seal Bentonite grout / seal Silica sand backfill Slotted tip Slough Bottom of Boring STURE CONTENT Dusty, dry to the touch Damp but no visible water

Panger INCORPORATED Phone: 206.262.0370 Terms and Symbols for Boring and Test Pit Logs

Figure A-1

Pro Job Loc	ject: Num ation:	ber:	Prop 18-3 3611	oosed Re 71 I West N	sidenc ercer \	e Vay, Mercer Island, WA	Surface Elevation: Top of Casing Elev.: Drilling Method:	HWA				
Coc	ordina	tes:	Nort	hing: , Ea	sting:		Sampling Method:	SPT		N-Value		
epth, (ft)	mple No.	mple Type	ws / 6 in.	ner Tests	Symbol	MATERIAL DESC		PL I	Moisture	e	LL 	
Ď	Sa	Sa	Blo	đ					0 RQD	50	Recovery	100
- 0 -	S-1	X	3 4 2			Loose, brown, silty, fine SAND with grave slightly plastic fines, organics at top, mix	el: moist, non-plastic to ed texture, massive. (Fill)).				· · · ·
	S-2	X	4 5 6			Soft to stiff, red brown to brown gray, silt low plastic, slow to no dilatancy, some sa textures, occasional woody material, oxid	y, lean CLAY: moist, slig and and gravel, mixed de staining. (Fill).	ht to				
- 5 -	S-3	X	3 2 2			Trace sand, wood debris, soft.						
	S-4	X	4 5 6			Scattered charcoal, becoming stiff, mass laminae, trace to some fine sand.	ive to laminated with org	anic				
- 10 -	S-5	X	1 1 2		Ţ	Loose, dark brown to light brown gray SI abundant wood debris, one piece of glas slightly plastic. (Fill).	LT with fine sand: moist, s and other debris, lamin	ated,				
	S-6	X	2 1 3			Loose or medium stiff, green gray, silty C moist, slight to low plastic, slow dilatancy with occasional sand laminae, occasiona Beds).	CLAY to clayey SILT: ven , homogeneous, laminat I fine organic blades. (La	y ed ake				
- 15 -	S-7	X	3 5 4			Medium dense to loose, green gray, clay plastic, with rapid dilatancy, homogeneou massive. (GlacioLake Beds).	ey SILT: moist to wet, sli us, occasional fine grave	ghtly I,				
	S-8	X	9 12 16			Grading to clayey SILT with fine sand, m	assive, occasional grave	Ι.				
- 20 -	S-9	X	7 5 33			Clayey SILT, slightly plastic, rapid dilatar	ncy, occasional fine grave	el.				
- 25 -			7			Crading to silty loop CLAX slight to low	plactic alow dilatanov					
	S-10	Д	12 14			massive, wavy laminae.	plastic, slow dilatancy,					
 - 30 -						Bottom of Bor	ing.					
Cor Dat Dat Log Dril	npletio e Boro e Boro ged B ling C	on D ehole ehole By: omp	epth: e Starte e Comp any:	ed: bleted:	26.5ft 11/14/ 11/14/ S. Eva CN Dr	18 Remarks: Ground 20nes above station 18 Ins Illing	water observed during dr c water level.	illing, ar	nd may be end	countered	in perched	d
Γ	LOG OF TEST BORING PG-1 Figure A-2											

Pro Job Loc	ject: Num ation:	ber:	Prop 18-3 3611	oosed Re 71 I West N	sidence lercer V	e Vay, Mercer Island, WA	Surface Elevation: Top of Casing Elev.: Drilling Method:	HWA				
Coc	ordina	tes:	Nort	hing: , Ea	asting:		Sampling Method:	SPT	N-Value ▲			
pth, (ft)	nple No.	Iple Type	vs / 6 in.	er Tests	ymbol	MATERIAL DESC		PL 	Moistur	e	LL -	
De	San	San	Blov	Oth	ίΩ,					50	Recovery	100
- 0 -	S-1	X	4 4 6			Medium dense, brown SILT: moist, slight sand, occasional subangular and blocky texture. (Fill).	t plastic, trace to some fi gravel, some organics, r	ne nixed				
	S-2	X	6 6 6			Stiff, light brown, silty CLAY: moist, low p occasional gravel, broken textures, trace Wasting Deposit).	lastic, homogeneous, organics, massive. (Ma	SS				
- 5 -	S-3	\square	3 6 3			Dark grav organic bed in sample tin						
	S-4	X	7 12 10			Medium dense, brown, fine to coarse SA grades finer downward, finer/coarser laye organic beds, rusty bed at bottom contact	ND: moist, trace to some ers, laminated, two black t. (Beach Deposit).	e silt,				
- 10 -	S-5		7 11 15			Medium dense, green gray SILT: very me plastic, rapid dilatancy, homogeneous, m Bed). Occasional light gray laminae or partings	bist, non-plastic to slight assive. (Glaciolacustrin	e				
	S-6	X	8 12 14			Very stiff, green gray, silty, lean CLAY: m homogeneous, slightly hackly fracture, or gravel. (Glaciolacustrine Bed).	noist, low plastic, ccasional light gray parti	ng or				
- 15 -	S-7		12 10 12			Medium dense / very stiff, green gray, cla plastic, slow dilatancy, homogeneous, m Bed).	ayey SILT: moist, slight assive. (Glaciolacustrine	- <u></u>				
			12			Bottom of Bor	ing.					
- 20 -												
- 25 -												
- 30 -												
Cor Dat Dat Log Dril	npleti e Bor e Bor ged E ling C	on D ehole ehole 3y: omp	epth: e Starte e Comp any:	ed: pleted:	16.5ft 11/14/ 11/14/ S. Eva CN Dr	Remarks: Ground 18 zones. 18 ins illing	water was not observed	in the bo	∣ : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	n be expe	cted in per	rched
$ \Gamma$	LOG OF TEST BORING PG-2 Figure A-3											

Pro Job	ject: Num	ber:	Prop 18-3	osed Re 71	sidence	e	Surface Elevation: Top of Casing Elev.:					
Loc Coc	ation: ordina	tes:	3611 Nort	l West M hing: , Ea	ercer V asting:	Vay, Mercer Island, WA	Drilling Method: Sampling Method:	HWA SPT				
<u> </u>		e	ċ	ş						N-Valu	e 🔺	
ر) (ff	e Ne	5 Type	/ 6 ii	Test	lodi				PL I	Moistu	ure	
epth	ldma	ample	SWC	her	Sym	MATERIAL DESC	RIPTION			•	D	
	ŝ	ů	B	ō						50	Recove	ery //// 100
- 0 -	S-1	X	7 3 4			Loose, brown gray, SILT with fine sand: homogeneous, laminated with rusty lami	moist, non-plastic, nae. (Lake Beds).					
	S-2	X	1 0 1			Very loose, brown SILT: moist, non-plas laminated with sandy laminae, occasion	tic, trace to some fine sa al fine organics. (Lake B	nd, eds).				
- 5 -	6.2	\mathbb{H}	1			Loose, brown, fine to coarse SAND: moi Beds).	st, some silt, gravel. (La	ke	X/////////////////////////////////////			
	3-3	А	2			Soft to medium stiff, green, silty, lean CL moist to wet, low plastic, homogeneous,	AY with clayey silt beds: laminated. (Lake Beds)					
	S-4		1 1 2			Grading to SILT with fine sand, occasior speckly texture, massive.	al coarse sand or fine gr	avel,				
- 10 -	S-5		2 2			Grading to green gray, lighter / darker be	eds, slightly hackly fractu	re	X///////			
			3			some zones, dark gray sit with the same	interbed at 10 leet.		<u> X </u>			
						Stiff, gray, silty, lean CLAY: very moist to homogeneous, massive with zones of ha	o moist, low plastic, ackly fracture.					
- 15 -	S-6	\square	2 3			(Glaciolacustrine Bed).						
			6									
- 20 -	S-7		6 10			Massive.						
		H	13			Bottom of Bo	ring.					
- 25 -												
- 30 -												
 Cor	npleti	∟⊥ on Di	epth [.]		21 5#	Remarks: Ground	water was not observed	in the bo	prings. but c	an be exp	ected in r	erched
Dat Dat	e Bore e Bore	ehole ehole	e Starte e Comp	ed: oleted:	11/14/ 11/14/	18 zones. 18			<u> </u>	· •	r	
Log Dril	ged B ling C	y: ompa	any:		S. Eva CN Dr	ans illing						
P	a1	7	$\overline{\mathbf{G}}$	F		LOG OF TEST BO	ORING PG-3					
	N C O R P O R A T E D Figure A-4											